## STATE OF VERMONT

## SUPERIOR COURT

## **ENVIRONMENTAL DIVISION**

Docket No. 138-10-17 Vtec
Docket No. 139-10-17 Vtec
Docket No. 140-10-17 Vtec
Docket No. 141-10-17 Vtec
Docket No. 145-10-17 Vtec
Docket No. 146-10-17 Vtec
Docket No. 4-1-18 Vtec
Docket No. 5-1-18 Vtec
Docket No. 17-2-18 Vtec

## **Judgment Order**

For the reasons set forth in the Decision on Summary Judgment Motions that accompanies this Judgment Order, the Court answers all three Questions presented by the Conservation Law Foundation in its Statement of Questions in the affirmative. As detailed in our Decision, we conclude that the WQBELs can, as a general matter, be identical to the WLAs within a TMDL and, in all of the above-referenced appeals, the WQBELs may be identical to the WLAs set forth in the 2016 TMDL. Further, we conclude that the WQBELs are not impermissible conditions subsequent. Finally, we conclude that ANR performed an adequate site-specific analysis of the assumptions underlying the effluent limits when issuing the permits presently on

appeal, and that those assumptions remain valid. Therefore, the summary judgment motions filed by the Agency of Natural Resources, the City of Montpelier, and the Towns of Hinesburg, Alburgh, and Shelburne are **GRANTED**. The summary judgment motion filed by CLF is **DENIED**.

For these reasons, we **AFFIRM** ANR's issuance of the above-referenced permits.

This concludes the matter before the Court.

Electronically signed on February 1, 2019 at Brattleboro, Vermont, pursuant to V.R.E.F. 7(d).

Thomas S. Durkin, Superior Judge Environmental Division

DO NOT TYPE ON OR BELOW THIS LINE.